

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

ANDREA SHERIDAN ORDIN
County Counsel

May 6, 2010

TELEPHONE (213) 974-1838 FACSIMILE (213) 626-7446 TDD (213) 633-0901

TO:

SACHI A. HAMAI

Executive Officer Board of Supervisors

Attention: Agenda Preparation

FROM:

JOHN F. KRATTLI/

Senior Assistant County Counsel

RE:

Richard Farmer v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. MC 018 987 (Consolidated with MC 018 998 and MC 019 017)

Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation regarding the above-referenced matter. Also attached are the Case Summary, the Summary Corrective Action Plan, and the Corrective Action Plan to be made available to the public.

It is requested that this recommendation, the Case Summary, the Summary Corrective Action Plan, and the Corrective Action Plan be placed on the Board of Supervisor's agenda.

JFK:rfm

Attachments

Board Agenda

MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation: Authorize settlement of the matter entitled <u>Richard Farmer v. County of Los Angeles</u>, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. MC 018 987 (Consolidated with MC 018 998 and MC 019 017), in the amount of \$150,000 and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from the Department of Public Works' budget.

This lawsuit arises from an automobile accident involving an employee of the Department of Public Works.

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME Richard Farmer v. County of Los

Angeles, et al. (Consolidated with Marvin and Pamela Richardson v. County of Los Angeles, et al. & Donald and Gerladine Mace v. County of Los Angeles, et al.)

CASE NUMBER MC018987 (consolidated with

MC018998 & MC019017)

COURT Los Angeles Superior Court

DATE FILED 3/3/2008, 3/07/2008, 3/28/2008

COUNTY DEPARTMENT Department of Public Works

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT \$ \$150,000 (Farmer)

\$400,000 (Richardsons)

\$130,000 (Maces)

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF Robert O. Huber, Esq. (Farmer)

Kurt Stiefler, Esq. (Richardson &

Mace)

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY Brian T, Chu

Principal Deputy County Counsel

NATURE OF CASE

This lawsuit arises from a near

head on automobile collision on March 11, 2006 involving a public works employee driving a Countyowned truck on westbound Sierra Highway and a pick up truck

driven by Marvin Richardson. Pamela Richardson, Richard Farmer, Donald Mace and

Geraldine Mace were passengers in Mr. Richardson's pick up truck traveling in the opposite direction. The County driver lost control over the County truck during cold and icy road conditions. Mr. Richardson pulled over to the right shoulder of the road, however, the County truck crossed over to the other side and collided with Mr. Richardson's pick up truck. As a result, the Richardson. the Maces and Mr. Farmer received physical injuries. The Richardsons, the Maces, and Mr. Farmer contend that the County driver was negligent in the operation of the County's truck and that the County is vicariously liable for their injuries.

Due to the inherent risks and uncertainties involved in a trial, the potential liability and potential exposure to an adverse verdict, the County proceeded with settlement negotiations and was eventually able to develop this recommended settlement with the plaintiffs.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

61,993

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 8,119

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	March 11, 2006
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	On March 11, 2006, an employee of our Road Maintenance Division was on storm patrol in Maintenance District 553. Our employee was traveling southbound on Sierra Highway, approaching Shannondale Road, when it began to snow. At that time, the roadway developed icy conditions, which caused our employee to lose control of the County vehicle. The County vehicle then crossed over the centerline, into the opposing lane of traffic where it subsequently struck and damaged the claimant's vehicle. Mr. Richardson and his five passengers sustained various injuries as a result of the collision.

Briefly describe the <u>root cause(s)</u> of the claim/lawsuit.

During our review of the incident, it was found that our employee was driving at speeds not reasonable and prudent for the prevailing weather conditions. The Traffic Collision Report prepared by the California Highway Patrol indicated that our employee was traveling at a rate of about 40 miles per hour, which was determined to be excessive for the weather conditions.

Additionally, Public Works has concluded there is merit to evaluating our existing Automotive Accident Review process for opportunities to improve our standards of driver safety and reduce further vehicle accidents and associated losses.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Briefly describe recommended corrective action (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party	ns: y, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)
The accident was reviewed by Public Works' Automembers of the Committee found the incident to b was issued to the Public Works employee by our A attend driver training for inclement weather condition	e preventable. As a result, a five-day suspens Advocacy Section, and our employee is required
Additionally, Public Works will evaluate our existing existing procedures and specifically access whether The estimated implementation date is October 30, 2	r employees should be removed from driving sta
State if the corrective actions are applicable to (If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk N	only your department or other County department of other county department
Potentially has Countywide implications.	
Potentially has an implication to other depa	
Potentially has an implication to other departments, or one or more other departments	
The state of the s	nents).
departments, or one or more other departments	nents).
departments, or one or more other departments	nents).
departments, or one or more other departments. Does not appear to have County-wide or of Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Steven G. Steinhoff	nents). ther department implications.
departments, or one or more other departments. Does not appear to have County-wide or of Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Steven G. Steinhoff Signature:	nents). ther department implications. Date:
departments, or one or more other departments. Does not appear to have County-wide or of Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Steven G. Steinhoff	nents). ther department implications.
departments, or one or more other departments. Does not appear to have County-wide or of Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Steven G. Steinhoff Signature: Steven Hemessee Name: (Director)	nents). ther department implications. Date:
Does not appear to have County-wide or of Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Steven G. Steinhoff Signature: Steven Hemessee Name: (Director) Gail Farber	nents). ther department implications. Date: 4-28-10.
departments, or one or more other departments. Does not appear to have County-wide or of Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Steven G. Steinhoff Signature: Steven Hemessee Name: (Director)	nents). ther department implications. Date: 4-28-(0.
Does not appear to have County-wide or of Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Steven G. Steinhoff Signature: Steven Hemessee Name: (Director) Gail Farber	nents). ther department implications. Date: 4-28-10.
departments, or one or more other departments. Does not appear to have County-wide or of Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Steven G. Steinhoff Signature: Steven Hemessee Name: (Director) Gail Farber	nents). ther department implications. Date: 4-28-(0.
Does not appear to have County-wide or of Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Steven G. Steinhoff Signature: Steven Hemessee Name: (Director) Gail Farber Signature:	nents). ther department implications. Date: 4-28-(0.
Does not appear to have County-wide or of Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Steven G. Steinhoff Signature: Steven Hemessee Name: (Director) Gail Farber	nents). ther department implications. Date: 4-28-10.
Does not appear to have County-wide or of Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Steven G. Steinhoff Signature: Steven Hemessee Name: (Director) Gail Farber Signature: Chief Executive Office Risk Management Name:	nents). ther department implications. Date: 4-28-(0.
Does not appear to have County-wide or of Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Steven G. Steinhoff Signature: Steven: Hemessee Name: (Director) Gail Farber Signature: Signature: Chief Executive Office Risk Management Name: Robert Chavez	Date: # -28 -10. Date: # -28-10

Document version: 3.0 (January 2010)

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

LAWSUIT OF: Richardson, et al., v County of Los Angeles

INCIDENT DATE: March 11, 2006

INCIDENT LOCATION: Sierra Highway, near Shannondale Road, unincorporated

Agua Dulce area

RISK ISSUE:

A public entity is responsible for the negligent acts of its employees when the acts are done in the course and scope of employment.

INVESTIGATIVE REVIEW:

On March 11, 2006, Marvin Richardson was driving his 2004 GMC Sierra extended cab pickup truck northbound on Sierra Highway. His wife, Pamela Richardson, was a passenger, along with two other couples, Donald and Geraldine Mace and Richard and Diana Farmer. At that time, a Road Maintenance District 553 Supervisor was patrolling the area during a storm, in a 2001 Chevy Silverado 1500 Crew Cab pickup truck. As a result of icy and snowy road conditions, the County vehicle lost traction and slid into the opposing lane of traffic. Seeing the County vehicle approaching from the opposite direction, Mr. Richardson pulled over to the side of the road and onto the dirt shoulder. However, our employee was unable to control the County vehicle and subsequently collided with the claimant's vehicle.

This matter was reviewed by Public Works' Automotive Safety Committee, where it was determined that the County employee was at fault for the incident.

POLICY ISSUES:

Public Works has several safety directives governing the safe operation of vehicles and equipment; vehicles are to be operated safely for the conditions and within the law.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

CORRECTIVE ACTION:

Based on the facts of the accident, the employee's driving record and the value of property damage and injuries resulting from the accident, a five-day suspension was issued to the Public Works employee by our Advocacy Section, and our employee is required to attend driver training for inclement weather conditions annually.

Additionally, Public Works will evaluate our existing Automotive Accident Review process to strengthen existing procedures and specifically access whether employees should be removed from driving status. The estimated implementation date is October 30, 2010.

Reviewed & Recommended

Dean Lehman

Assistant Deputy Director

Date

Approved

Patrick V. DeChellis

Deputy Director

Date

PF:psr

P4:\RICHARDSON CAP REVISED5